IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

JARVIS DUNK MCDAVID, #1989610,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:22-cv-087-JDK-KNM
	§	
BRYAN COLLIER, et al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Jarvis Dunk McDavid filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge, K. Nicole Mitchell, for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

On October 3, 2022, Judge Mitchell issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's civil rights lawsuit be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. Docket No. 14. A copy of this Report was mailed to Plaintiff on October 4, 2022, and he did not file any written objections to the Report.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews her legal conclusions

to determine whether they are contrary to law. See United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221

(5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate

Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and

contrary to law.").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the Court finds

no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court

hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket

No. 14) as the findings of this Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** with

prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. All pending motions are

DENIED as **MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 8th

day of November, 2022.

IER**E**MY D**/**KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE